arXiv:1403.5842v2 [math-ph] 25 Mar 2014

Relations between symmetries and conservation laws for difference systems

Linyu Peng*

Department of Mathematics, University of Surrey, Guildford GU2 7XH, United Kingdom
Current address: Department of Applied Mechanics and Aerospace Engineering, Waseda University, Ohkubo, Shinjuku, Tokyo 169-8555, Japan

Abstract

For difference variational problems on lattice, this paper presents a relation between divergence variational symmetries and conservation laws for the associated Euler-Lagrange system provided by Noether's theorem. This hence inspires us to define conservation laws related with symmetries for arbitrary difference equations with or without Lagrangian formulations. These conservation laws are constrained by some partial differential equations obtained from the symmetries generators. It is shown that the orders of these partial differential equations have been reduced comparing to the ones used in a general approach. Illustrating examples are presented.

26th March 2014

Keywords: difference variational problem; difference equation; symmetry; conservation law

* *E-mail address:* l.peng@aoni.waseda.jp

Contents

1 Introduction 1
2 Symmetries and conservation laws for difference systems 2
3 Relations between symmetries and conservation laws 6
4 Examples 9
Acknowledgements 16

---

1. Introduction

For differential variational problems, their variational symmetries are still symmetries of the associated Euler-Lagrange system and the converse is not necessary to be true [1]. Nevertheless, Dorodnitsyn [2,3] proved that the invariance of a difference Lagrangian from the mesh viewpoint does not mean the invariance of the corresponding difference Euler-Lagrange equations. The first task of this paper is to show that divergence variational symmetries of difference variational problems from the lattice viewpoint are still symmetries of the underlying difference Euler-Lagrange equations.

For differential systems, the well-known Noether's first theorem [4] tells us that variational symmetries will amount to conservation laws for differential variational problems. The relationship between the variational symmetries and the associated conservation laws can be explicitly determined [1, 5, 6]. If a differential system does not have a underlying Lagrangian formulation, to what extend can we relate its symmetries and conservation laws? To answer this question, for self-adjoint differential equations, Anco and Bluman [7] derived an equality providing a correspondence between symmetries and conservation laws and it does not depend on a Lagrangian formulation. In [8], Kara and Mahomed presented a method showing a relationship between conservation laws and symmetry generators for an arbitrary differential equation. Moreover, conservation laws of differential equations may also be obtained by use of operators which are not symmetry generators of the associated system [9].

The other part of this paper hence contributes to find out a relation between symmetries for difference variational problems and their Noether-type of conservation laws. To some extend, this can be generalized to difference equations without Lagrangian formulations. Given a group of Lie point symmetries, usually one can easily calculate the related conservation laws by solving partial differential equations. The order of these partial differential equations is reduced comparing to the method provided by Rasin & Hydon [10]. This

method is illustrated by some ordinary difference equations as well as partial difference equations, such as equations of the ABS classification [11].

2. Symmetries and conservation laws for difference systems

Let $n = (n^1, n^2, \dots, n^p) \in \mathbb{Z}^p$ and $u_n = (u_n^1, u_n^2, \dots, u_n^q) \in U \subset \mathbb{R}^q$ be the independent and dependent variables respectively. The shift operator (or map) S is defined as

$$S_k : n^i \mapsto n^i + \delta_k^i, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, p, \tag{2.1}$$

where δ_k^i is the Kronecker delta. Let $\mathbf{1}_k$ be the p-tuple with only one nonzero entry 1 in the k-th place. Then the k^{th}-shift map and the naturally extended action to a function $f(n)$ are respectively given by

$$S_k : n \mapsto n + \mathbf{1}_k, \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$S_k : f(n) \mapsto f(n + \mathbf{1}_k). \tag{2.3}$$

We will use the notation $S_{\mathbf{1}_k}$ instead of S_k, and the composite of shifts using multi-index notation is given by S_J, where J is a p-tuple. We can define the inverse of the shift map as $S_{-\mathbf{1}_k} : n \to n - \mathbf{1}_k$. The inverse map S_{-J} of the composite of shifts is similarly defined.

Consider the following one-parameter transformations (Lie point transformations)

$$\Gamma_\varepsilon : (n, u_n) \mapsto (n, \tilde{u}_n), \tag{2.4}$$

with $\tilde{u}_n = \tilde{u}(n, u_n; \varepsilon)$. In the present paper, the independent variable is always invariant, that is, $\tilde{n} = n$. This admits a Taylor expansion with respect to ε,

$$\tilde{u}_n^\alpha = u_n^\alpha + \varepsilon Q^\alpha(n, u_n) + O(\varepsilon^2). \tag{2.5}$$

From this, the infinitesimal generator is obtained as

$$\mathbf{v} = Q_n^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial u_n^\alpha}, \tag{2.6}$$

where $Q_n = (Q_n^1, Q_n^2, \dots, Q_n^q)$ is called a characteristic, and $Q_n^\alpha = Q^\alpha(n, u_n)$. The Einstein summation convention is applied here and all through this paper. For higher transformations, Q_n is a q-tuple defined on n, u_n as well as their shifts. The transformation Γ_ε can be prolonged to shifts of u_n; we have the (infinitely) prolongation of the vector field $\mathbf{v}$ as

$$\mathbf{pr}^{(\infty)}\mathbf{v} = \sum_{|J| \geq 0}^{\infty} Q_{n+J}^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{n+J}^\alpha}, \tag{2.7}$$

with $Q^{\alpha}_{n+J} := S_J Q^{\alpha}_n$. For the sake of simplicity, we also write Q^{α}_{n+J} as Q^{α}_J, u^{α}_{n+J} as u^{α}_J.

Consider a system of difference equations

$$\mathcal{A}^{\triangle} = \{F_k = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, l\}, \tag{2.8}$$

where F_k are functions of n, u^{α}_n and their shifts. A one-parameter group G of transformations Γ_ε related to the system is a symmetry group, if all the associated infinitesimal generators $\mathbf{v}$ satisfy

$$\mathbf{pr}^{(\infty)}\mathbf{v}(F_k) = 0, \quad \text{when (2.8) holds.} \tag{2.9}$$

Hydon [12] provided a systematic method (by using the linearized symmetry condition) for determining one-parameter Lie groups of symmetries for ordinary difference equations.

Consider a k^{th}-order ordinary difference equation given by

$$u_{n+k} = \omega(n, u_n, u_{n+1}, \dots, u_{n+k-1}), \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial u_n} \neq 0. \tag{2.10}$$

By writing the infinitesimal generator as

$$\mathbf{v} = \sum_m (S^m Q_n) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{n+m}}, \tag{2.11}$$

where the characteristic Q_n is a function of $n, u_n, u_{n+1}, \dots, u_{n+k-1}$, the linearized symmetry condition gives rise to

$$S^k Q_n = \mathbf{v}(\omega). \tag{2.12}$$

This is the necessary and sufficient condition to obtain (local) one-parameter Lie groups of symmetries generated by $\mathbf{v}$.

For a system of difference equations given by (2.8), a *conservation law* is defined as a difference divergence expression

$$\mathrm{Div}^{\triangle} P := \sum_{i=1}^{p} (S_i - \mathrm{id}) P^i, \tag{2.13}$$

which vanishes on all solutions of the system, where P is a p-tuple defined on n, u_n as well as the shifts of u_n, and for any i, P^i is smooth with respect to continuous variables.

A conservation law can be trivial in two ways: the p-tuple P vanishes on solutions, that is, $P|_{\mathcal{A}^{\triangle}} = 0$, or $\mathrm{Div}^{\triangle} P \equiv 0$, without reference to the system. A conservation law is trivial if it is a linear superposition of these two types of trivial conservation laws.

For ordinary difference equations, i.e., $p = 1$, a conservation law is also called a first integral. Consider the k^{th}-order difference equation (2.10); a first integral is a nonconstant function

$$P = P(n, u_n, u_{n+1}, \dots, u_{n+k-1}) \tag{2.14}$$

satisfying

$$SP = P, \quad \text{when} \quad (2.10) \quad \text{holds}, \tag{2.15}$$

which can be considered as a determining condition for first integrals.

Let G be a one-parameter Lie group acting on U as point transformations; write this action as $\tilde{u}_n = g \circ u_n$ for $g \in G$. For a difference variational problem

$$\mathscr{L}[u] = \sum_n L(n, [u]) = \sum_n L(n, u_n^\alpha, u_{n+\mathbf{1}_i}^\alpha, \dots, u_{n+J}^\alpha), \tag{2.16}$$

its Lagrangian is said to be invariant under G if

$$L(n, \tilde{u}_n^\alpha, \tilde{u}_{n+\mathbf{1}_i}^\alpha, \dots, \tilde{u}_{n+J}^\alpha) = L(n, u_n^\alpha, u_{n+\mathbf{1}_i}^\alpha, \dots, u_{n+J}^\alpha) (= L_n). \tag{2.17}$$

Here $[u]$ denotes u_n and its shifts. This has an infinitesimal version

$$\mathbf{pr}^{(\infty)}\mathbf{v}(L_n) = 0, \tag{2.18}$$

with $\mathbf{v} = Q_n^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial u_n^\alpha}$ the infinitesimal generator. It can be generalized to *divergence variational symmetries* satisfying

$$\mathbf{pr}^{(\infty)}\mathbf{v}(L_n) = \sum_i (S_i - \mathrm{id}) R^i, \tag{2.19}$$

which again keeps $\mathscr{L}[u]$ invariant. A discrete version of Noether's theorem exists (see e.g., [13, 14]); it relates symmetries for difference variational problems and conservation laws of the associated Euler-Lagrange equations.

The following proposition is useful in the proofs for the coming results.

Proposition 2.1. *For any function $f(n, [u])$, we have*

1. $\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{J_0}^\alpha}(S_{-J} f) = S_{-J}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial u_{J_0+J}^\alpha}\right)$, *for $\forall \alpha$ and J_0, J;*
2. $S_i\left(\mathbf{pr}^{(\infty)}\mathbf{v}(f)\right) = \mathbf{pr}^{(\infty)}\mathbf{v}\left(S_i f\right)$.

Remark 2.2. *The proposition above implies that the divergence operator* $\mathrm{Div}^\triangle$ *and the symmetry generator are commutative,*

$$\mathrm{Div}^\triangle\left(\mathbf{pr}^{(\infty)}\mathbf{v}(P)\right) = \mathbf{pr}^{(\infty)}\mathbf{v}\left(\mathrm{Div}^\triangle P\right). \tag{2.20}$$

Here P is a p-tuple.

The difference Euler-Lagrange operator is given by

$$\mathbf{E}_\alpha^\triangle := \sum_J S_{-J} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_J^\alpha}, \tag{2.21}$$

and the Euler-Lagrange equations with respect to the variational problem (2.16) can be simply written as

$$\mathbf{E}^{\triangle}_{\alpha}(L_n) = 0. \tag{2.22}$$

For a Lagrangian L_n, let us define $L^{(\infty)} = \sum_J S_{-J} L_n$; then obviously one can check that

$$\mathbf{E}^{\triangle}_{\alpha}(L_n) = \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{\alpha}} L^{(\infty)}. \tag{2.23}$$

Remark 2.3. *1. If $u_n \mapsto v_n$ is Lie point transformations, then*

$$\mathbf{E}^{\triangle}_{u^{\alpha}} = \frac{\partial v^{\beta}}{\partial u^{\alpha}} \mathbf{E}^{\triangle}_{v^{\beta}}. \tag{2.24}$$

2. If $\mathbf{v} = Q^{\alpha}\partial_{u^{\alpha}}$ generates a group of divergence variational symmetries of $\sum_n L_n$, then

$$\mathbf{v}\left(L^{(\infty)}\right) = Q^{\alpha}\mathbf{E}^{\triangle}_{u^{\alpha}}(L_n) (= \mathrm{Div}^{\triangle} P_0), \tag{2.25}$$

for some p-tuple P_0.

Integrating by parts gives that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{pr}^{(\infty)}\mathbf{v}(L_n) &= \sum_{\alpha,J} Q^{\alpha}_J \frac{\partial L_n}{\partial u^{\alpha}_J} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha,J} Q^{\alpha} S_{-J}\left(\frac{\partial L_n}{\partial u^{\alpha}_J}\right) + \sum_i (S_i - \mathrm{id}) C^i \\ &= Q^{\alpha}\mathbf{E}^{\triangle}_{\alpha}(L_n) + \sum_i (S_i - \mathrm{id}) C^i, \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

where Noether's conservation law is given by components as

$$P^i = C^i - R^i = \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{J \geq \mathbf{1}_i} S_{-\mathbf{1}_i}\left(\mathbf{E}^{\triangle}_{u^{\alpha}_J}(L_n)\right) Q^{\alpha}_{J-\mathbf{1}_i} - R^i; \tag{2.27}$$

here $\mathbf{0}$ is a p-tuple with all components zeros, and the operator $\mathbf{E}^{\triangle}_{u^{\alpha}_J}$ is given by

$$\mathbf{E}^{\triangle}_{u^{\alpha}_J}(L_n) = \sum_{J_0 \geq \mathbf{0}} S_{-J_0}\left(\frac{\partial L_n}{\partial u^{\alpha}_{J_0+J}}\right). \tag{2.28}$$

The formula (2.27) is only valid for Lagrangians which are independent from backward shifts of u_n. Nevertheless, this can be always achieved by shifting Lagrangians forward, without affecting solutions of the associated Euler-Lagrange equations [15, 16]. In this paper, we will only consider Lagrangians which are independent from backward shifts of u. Nevertheless, we have the following remark for another kind of Lagrangians.

Remark 2.4. *For Lagrangians which depende on u_n and its backward shifts only, we will have the conservation law as*

$$C^i = -\sum_{\alpha}\sum_{J<\mathbf{0}} \widetilde{\mathbf{E}}^{\triangle}_{u^{\alpha}_J}(L_n)Q^{\alpha}_J. \tag{2.29}$$

However, the operator $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}^{\triangle}_{u^{\alpha}_J}$ is

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}^{\triangle}_{u^{\alpha}_J}(L_n) = \sum_{J_0\leq\mathbf{0}} S_{-J_0}\left(\frac{\partial L_n}{\partial u^{\alpha}_{J_0+J}}\right). \tag{2.30}$$

3. Relations between symmetries and conservation laws

To find out how divergence variational symmetries affect the Euler-Lagrange equations, we recall that $\sum_i(S_i-\mathrm{id})P^i_0$ is a null Lagrangian such that $\mathbf{E}^{\triangle}_{\alpha}\left(\sum_i(S_i-\mathrm{id})P^i_0\right)$ vanishes always. Then we get the following identity

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}^{\triangle}_{\alpha}\left(\mathbf{pr}^{(\infty)}\mathbf{v}(L_n)\right) &= \mathbf{E}^{\triangle}_{\alpha}\left(Q^{\beta}\mathbf{E}^{\triangle}_{\beta}(L_n)\right)\\ &= \sum_J Q^{\beta}_{-J}S_{-J}\left(\frac{\partial\mathbf{E}^{\triangle}_{\beta}(L_n)}{\partial u^{\alpha}_J}\right) + \sum_J S_{-J}\left(\frac{\partial Q^{\beta}}{\partial u^{\alpha}_J}\mathbf{E}^{\triangle}_{\beta}(L_n)\right).\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

The first term on the right-hand side of (3.1) can be rearranged as

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_J Q^{\beta}_{-J}S_{-J}\left(\frac{\partial\mathbf{E}^{\triangle}_{\beta}(L_n)}{\partial u^{\alpha}_J}\right) &= \sum_{J_0,J} Q^{\beta}_{-J}S_{-J}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial u^{\alpha}_J}\left(S_{-J_0}\left(\frac{\partial L_n}{\partial u^{\beta}_{J_0}}\right)\right)\right]\\ &= \sum_{J_0,J} Q^{\beta}_{-J}S_{-J-J_0}\left(\frac{\partial^2 L_n}{\partial u^{\beta}_{J_0}u^{\alpha}_{J+J_0}}\right)\\ &= \sum_{J_0,J} Q^{\beta}_{-J}\frac{\partial}{\partial u^{\beta}_{-J}}\left(S_{-J-J_0}\left(\frac{\partial L_n}{\partial u^{\alpha}_{J+J_0}}\right)\right)\\ &= \sum_{J_1,J} Q^{\beta}_{-J}\frac{\partial}{\partial u^{\beta}_{-J}}\left(S_{-J_1}\left(\frac{\partial L_n}{\partial u^{\alpha}_{J_1}}\right)\right)\\ &= \mathbf{pr}^{(\infty)}\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{E}^{\triangle}_{\alpha}(L_n)).\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Here we set $J_1 = J + J_0$ on the fourth equality. Therefore, we have proved the following theorem for a one-parameter group of divergence variational symmetries as the second term from the right-hand side of (3.1) only depends on shifts of the Euler-Lagrange equations. Nevertheless, this proof procedure can be generalized to any finite-dimensional groups of divergence variational symmetries.

Theorem 3.1. *Any group of divergence variational symmetries of a Lagrangian on lattice $\mathbb{Z}^p$ is still a group of symmetries for the associated difference Euler-Lagrange equations.*

Rewrite Noether's conservation law as follows by using operators T^i

$$P^i = C^i - R^i = T^i(L_n) - R^i, \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$T^i = \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{J \geq \mathbf{1}_i} Q^{\alpha}_{J-\mathbf{1}_i} S_{-\mathbf{1}_i} \mathbf{E}^{\triangle}_{u^{\alpha}_J}. \tag{3.4}$$

Theorem 3.2. *For Lie point variational symmetries, implying $R^i = 0$, their infinitesimal generators satisfy that*

$$0 = \mathbf{pr}^{(\infty)}\mathbf{v}(P^i) \left(= \mathbf{pr}^{(\infty)}\mathbf{v}(T^i(L_n)) \right), \quad \textit{for all} \quad i. \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. It is sufficient to verify that

$$\mathbf{pr}^{(\infty)}\mathbf{v}(T^i(L_n)) - T^i \left(\mathbf{pr}^{(\infty)}\mathbf{v}(L_n) \right) = 0. \tag{3.6}$$

By using Leibniz rule, terms on the left-hand side can be expanded respectively as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{pr}^{(\infty)}\mathbf{v}(T^i(L_n)) &= \sum_{I,J \geq \mathbf{1}_i} Q^{\alpha}_I \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{\alpha}_I} \left[Q^{\beta}_{J-\mathbf{1}_i} S_{-\mathbf{1}_i} \mathbf{E}^{\triangle}_{u^{\beta}_J}(L_n) \right] \\
&= \sum_{I,J \geq \mathbf{1}_i} \left[Q^{\alpha}_I \frac{\partial Q^{\beta}_{J-\mathbf{1}_i}}{\partial u^{\alpha}_I} S_{-\mathbf{1}_i} \mathbf{E}^{\triangle}_{u^{\beta}_J}(L_n) + Q^{\alpha}_I Q^{\beta}_{J-\mathbf{1}_i} S_{-\mathbf{1}_i} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u^{\alpha}_{I+\mathbf{1}_i}} \mathbf{E}^{\triangle}_{u^{\beta}_J}(L_n) \right) \right] \\
&= \sum_{I,J \geq \mathbf{1}_i, J_0 \geq \mathbf{0}} \left[Q^{\alpha}_I \frac{\partial Q^{\beta}_{J-\mathbf{1}_i}}{\partial u^{\alpha}_I} S_{-\mathbf{1}_i - J_0} \frac{\partial L_n}{\partial u^{\beta}_{J+J_0}} + Q^{\alpha}_{J-\mathbf{1}_i} Q^{\beta}_I S_{-\mathbf{1}_i - J_0} \frac{\partial^2 L_n}{\partial u^{\beta}_{I+\mathbf{1}_i+J_0} \partial u^{\alpha}_{J+J_0}} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

(a change of indices has been applied) and

$$\begin{aligned}
T^i \left(\mathbf{pr}^{(\infty)}\mathbf{v}(L_n) \right) &= \sum_{I,J \geq \mathbf{1}_i} Q^{\alpha}_{J-\mathbf{1}_i} S_{-\mathbf{1}_i} \mathbf{E}^{\triangle}_{u^{\alpha}_J} \left(Q^{\beta}_I \frac{\partial L_n}{\partial u^{\beta}_I} \right) \\
&= \sum_{I,J \geq \mathbf{1}_i, J_0 \geq \mathbf{0}} \left[Q^{\alpha}_{J-\mathbf{1}_i} S_{-\mathbf{1}_i - J_0} \left(\frac{\partial Q^{\beta}_I}{\partial u^{\alpha}_{J+J_0}} \frac{\partial L_n}{\partial u^{\beta}_I} \right) + Q^{\alpha}_{J-\mathbf{1}_i} Q^{\beta}_{I-J_0-\mathbf{1}_i} S_{-\mathbf{1}_i - J_0} \frac{\partial^2 L_n}{\partial u^{\beta}_I \partial u^{\alpha}_{J+J_0}} \right] \\
&= \sum_{I,J \geq \mathbf{1}_i, J_0 \geq \mathbf{0}} \left[Q^{\alpha}_{J-\mathbf{1}_i} S_{-\mathbf{1}_i - J_0} \left(\frac{\partial Q^{\beta}_I}{\partial u^{\alpha}_{J+J_0}} \frac{\partial L_n}{\partial u^{\beta}_I} \right) + Q^{\alpha}_{J-\mathbf{1}_i} Q^{\beta}_I S_{-\mathbf{1}_i - J_0} \frac{\partial^2 L_n}{\partial u^{\beta}_{I+\mathbf{1}_i+J_0} \partial u^{\alpha}_{J+J_0}} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that the terms involving second-order derivatives of L_n are the same. Since we assumed that Q^{α} are the characteristics of a group of Lie point variational symmetries, the following identity holds

$$\frac{\partial Q^{\beta}_I}{\partial u^{\alpha}_J} = \frac{\partial Q^{\beta}_J}{\partial u^{\alpha}_I} = \delta^J_I \frac{\partial Q^{\beta}_J}{\partial u^{\alpha}_J}, \quad \forall I, J, \tag{3.7}$$

which finishes the proof. ■

Remark 3.3. *The proof above implies the following commutative relation*

$$[\mathbf{pr}^{(\infty)}\mathbf{v}, T^i] = 0, \quad \textit{for all} \;\; i = 1, 2, \ldots, p. \tag{3.8}$$

Here $[\cdot, \cdot]$ *is the Lie bracket, and* $\mathbf{v}$ *generates a group of Lie point (divergence) variational symmetries.*

If $\mathbf{v}$ is a divergence symmetry generator such that R^i do not vanish, then we have

$$\mathbf{pr}^{(\infty)}\mathbf{v}(P^i) = T^i \left(\sum_j (S_j - \mathrm{id}) R^j \right) - \mathbf{pr}^{(\infty)}\mathbf{v}(R^i). \tag{3.9}$$

However, for ordinary difference systems, that is, $p = 1$, we can get an even better result.

Theorem 3.4. *When* $p = 1$*, for groups of Lie point divergence variational symmetries, their infinitesimal generators are subject to*

$$\mathbf{pr}^{(\infty)}\mathbf{v}(P) = 0. \tag{3.10}$$

Here the first integral is $P = C - R$*.*

Proof. As we already showed that

$$\mathbf{pr}^{(\infty)}\mathbf{v}(C) = T\left(\mathbf{pr}^{(\infty)}\mathbf{v}(L_n)\right) = T(SR - R), \tag{3.11}$$

the proof finishes if we can verify

$$T(SR - R) - \mathbf{pr}^{(\infty)}\mathbf{v}(R) = 0. \tag{3.12}$$

This can be done simply by a change of indices as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} T(SR) &= \sum_m Q^\alpha_{m-1} S_{-1} \left(\mathbf{E}^{\triangle}_{u^\alpha_{n+m}}(SR) \right) \\ &= \sum_{m,k\geq 0} Q^\alpha_{m-1} S_{-k-1} \frac{\partial (SR)}{\partial u^\alpha_{n+m+k}} \\ &= \sum_{m,k\geq 0} Q^\alpha_{m-1} S_{-k} \frac{\partial R}{\partial u^\alpha_{n+m+k-1}} \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned} T(R) &= \sum_{m,k\geq 0} Q^\alpha_{m-1} S_{-k-1} \frac{\partial R}{\partial u^\alpha_{n+m+k}} \\ &= \sum_{m,k\geq 1} Q^\alpha_{m-1} S_{-k} \frac{\partial R}{\partial u^\alpha_{n+m+k-1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Therefore, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} T(SR-R) &= \sum_m \left(\sum_{k\geq 0} - \sum_{k\geq 1} \right) Q^\alpha_{m-1} S_{-k} \frac{\partial R}{\partial u^\alpha_{n+m+k-1}} \\ &= \sum_m Q^\alpha_{m-1} \frac{\partial R}{\partial u^\alpha_{n+m}} \\ &= \mathbf{pr}^{(\infty)}\mathbf{v}(R). \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

■

Definition 3.5. *For a difference system $\mathcal{A}^\triangle$, an infinitesimal generator $\mathbf{v}$ with respect to a group of Lie point symmetries is said to be associated with a conservation law P if the following equality is satisfied*

$$\mathbf{pr}^{(\infty)}\mathbf{v}(P^i) = 0, \quad \textit{for all } i, \quad \textit{when } \mathcal{A}^\triangle \textit{ holds.} \tag{3.16}$$

For a counterpart of similar results for differential systems, we recommend [6, 8, 17]. When $p = 1$, that is, for a k^{th}-order ordinary difference equation (2.10), the equality (3.16) can be written as the following linear first-order partial differential equation,

$$Q\frac{\partial P}{\partial u_n} + (SQ)\frac{\partial P}{\partial u_{n+1}} + \cdots + (S^{k-1}Q)\frac{\partial P}{\partial u_{n+k-1}} = 0. \tag{3.17}$$

4. Examples

In this section, we calculate the conservation laws of some difference equations admitting Lie point symmetries by using our method. Assume that all singularity conditions are taken into consideration.

Example 4.1 ([12])**.** *The difference equation*

$$u_{n+2} = \frac{u^2_{n+1}}{u_n} \tag{4.1}$$

possessing a symmetry group with characteristics

$$Q_1 = u_n, \quad Q_2 = nu_n, \quad Q_3 = u_n \ln|u_n|. \tag{4.2}$$

Assume the first integral is $P(n, u_n, u_{n+1})$. The equality (3.17) gives the following linear partial differential equations

$$Q_j\frac{\partial P}{\partial u_n} + (SQ_j)\frac{\partial P}{\partial u_{n+1}} = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, 3. \tag{4.3}$$

When Q_1 is substituted, the equation above can be solved that

$$P = P(n, u_{n+1}/u_n). \tag{4.4}$$

Substitute it into the determining condition (2.15) and get that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = (S - \mathrm{id})P &= P(n+1, u_{n+2}/u_{n+1}) - P(n, u_{n+1}/u_n) \\ &= P(n+1, u_{n+1}/u_n) - P(n, u_{n+1}/u_n), \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

which implies that $P = P(u_{n+1}/u_n)$ is a first integral for any smooth function P. So u_{n+1}/u_n itself is a first integral as well.

Similarly, when Q_2 is used, the partial differential equation can be solved as

$$P = P(n, u^n_{n+1}/u^{n+1}_n). \tag{4.6}$$

The determining condition amounts to that $P = P(u^n_{n+1}/u^{n+1}_n)$, implying that u^n_{n+1}/u^{n+1}_n is another first integral and it is functionally independent of u_{n+1}/u_n.

The third characteristic does not provide any more first integrals. By setting

$$u_{n+1}/u_n = c_1, \quad u^n_{n+1}/u^{n+1}_n = 1/c_2, \tag{4.7}$$

with c_1 and c_2 constants, we find the general solution of the original difference equation (by eliminating u_{n+1})

$$u_n = c_2 c_1^n. \tag{4.8}$$

Nevertheless, by assuming $u_n > 0$ and setting $s_n = \ln u_n$, this equation admits a Lagrangian formulation. The Lagrangian is given by $L_n = s_n s_{n+1} - s_n^2$. It is easy to see that the first two groups are groups of divergence variational symmetries while the last one is not by checking (taking Remark 2.3 into consideration)

$$\mathbf{v}_1\left(L^{(\infty)}\right) = (S - \mathrm{id})s_n, \quad \mathbf{v}_2\left(L^{(\infty)}\right) = (S - \mathrm{id})((n-1)s_n). \tag{4.9}$$

Here $\mathbf{v}_1 = \partial_{s_n}$ and $\mathbf{v}_2 = n\partial_{s_n}$.

Example 4.2 ([12])**.** *Consider a third-order difference equation*

$$u_{n+3} = u_{n+1}u_{n+2}/u_n. \tag{4.10}$$

It admits a four-dimensional group of Lie point symmetries with characteristics

$$Q_1 = u_n, \quad Q_2 = (-1)^n u_n, \quad Q_3 = n u_n, \quad Q_4 = u_n \ln|u_n|. \tag{4.11}$$

Using Q_1, we can get

$$P = P\left(n, \frac{u_{n+2}}{u_{n+1}}, \frac{u_{n+1}}{u_n}\right), \tag{4.12}$$

and it satisfies

$$P\left(n+1, \frac{u_{n+1}}{u_n}, \frac{u_{n+2}}{u_{n+1}}\right) = P\left(n, \frac{u_{n+2}}{u_{n+1}}, \frac{u_{n+1}}{u_n}\right). \tag{4.13}$$

This yields that P is independent of n and satisfies

$$P(x,y) = P(y,x), \quad \textit{with} \quad x = \frac{u_{n+1}}{u_n} \quad \textit{and} \quad y = \frac{u_{n+2}}{u_{n+1}}. \tag{4.14}$$

Obviously functions of the forms $P(u_{n+2}/u_n)$ and $P(u_{n+2}/u_{n+1} + u_{n+1}/u_n)$ are solutions and we can get the corresponding first integrals as follows:

$$P = \frac{u_{n+2}}{u_n}, \quad P = \frac{u_{n+2}}{u_{n+1}} + \frac{u_{n+1}}{u_n}. \tag{4.15}$$

From the other symmetry characteristics, we cannot find any more first integrals, which are functionally independent from the ones obtained from Q_1.

Since the order of this equation is odd, there does not exist a Lagrangian formulation.

Example 4.3. *Consider the following equation*

$$u_{1,1} = \omega(u_{0,0}, u_{0,1}, u_{1,0}) = u_{0,0}\left(1 + \frac{u_{0,1}}{u_{1,0}}\right); \tag{4.16}$$

here $u_{0,0} = u(m,n)$ is the value of the dependent variable at the point $(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. Let the shifts of the dependent variable be $u_{i,j} = S_{(i,j)}u_{0,0}$, or alternatively $u_{i,j} = S_m^i S_n^j u_{0,0}$ with S_m, S_n the unite forward shifts along the m and n directions. It admits Lie point symmetries with characteristics

$$Q_1 = u_{0,0}, \quad Q_2 = (-1)^{m+n}u_{0,0}. \tag{4.17}$$

Assume a two-tuple $P = (F, G)$ with components

$$F = F(m, n, u_{0,0}, u_{0,1}) \quad \textit{and} \quad G = G(m, n, u_{0,0}, u_{1,0}), \tag{4.18}$$

satisfying

$$(S_m - \mathrm{id})F + (S_n - \mathrm{id})G = 0 \tag{4.19}$$

on solutions of the given equation. From (3.16), we have that for Q_1,

$$F = F(m, n, u_{0,0}/u_{0,1}), \quad G = G(m, n, u_{0,0}/u_{1,0}). \tag{4.20}$$

By applying the following differential operators to the divergence expression (4.19) (see Rasin & Hydon [10]),

$$\mathcal{D}_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{0,1}} - \frac{\omega_{u_{0,1}}}{\omega_{u_{0,0}}}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{0,0}}, \quad \mathcal{D}_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{1,0}} - \frac{\omega_{u_{1,0}}}{\omega_{u_{0,0}}}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{0,0}}, \tag{4.21}$$

we get that

$$\mathcal{D}_1\mathcal{D}_2(F+G)=0. \tag{4.22}$$

Here $\omega_{u_{i,j}}$ *denotes* $\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial u_{i,j}}$. *By setting* $x=u_{0,0}/u_{0,1}$ *and* $y=u_{0,0}/u_{1,0}$, *the equation (4.22) becomes*

$$(2xy+x^2)F''(x)+2yF'(x)-y^2G''(y)-2yG'(y)=0. \tag{4.23}$$

Here the discrete variables are omitted and the derivatives are with respect to the continuous arguments x *and* y. *Differentiating the last equation with respect to* x *yields a polynomial with respect to* y; *coefficients of each power give us the unique equation for* F,

$$xF'''(x)+2F''(x)=0. \tag{4.24}$$

This can be easily solved and its general solution is[1]

$$F(x)=A_1(m,n)\ln x+A_2(m,n)x. \tag{4.25}$$

Substitute this back into (4.23), and a differential equation for G *can be obtained,*

$$y^2G''(y)+2yG'(y)-2A_2(m,n)y+A_1(m,n)=0. \tag{4.26}$$

Its general solution is

$$G(y)=\frac{B(m,n)}{y}-A_1(m,n)\ln y+A_2(m,n)y. \tag{4.27}$$

The result we achieved above is substituted into the divergence expression (4.19) and then the integration constants A_1, A_2 *and* B *are determined by solving some simple difference equations. Finally, we get the following two conservation laws,*

$$F=\frac{1+(-1)^{m+n}}{2}\frac{u_{0,0}}{u_{0,1}},\quad G=\frac{1+(-1)^{m+n}}{2}\frac{u_{0,0}}{u_{1,0}}+\frac{1-(-1)^{m+n}}{2}\frac{u_{1,0}}{u_{0,0}} \tag{4.28}$$

and

$$F=\frac{1-(-1)^{m+n}}{2}\frac{u_{0,0}}{u_{0,1}},\quad G=\frac{1+(-1)^{m+n}}{2}\frac{u_{0,0}}{u_{1,0}}+\frac{1-(-1)^{m+n}}{2}\frac{u_{1,0}}{u_{0,0}}. \tag{4.29}$$

The procedure can be similarly applied by using the characteristic Q_2, *however, unfortunately we can not obtain any more independent conservation laws.*

[1]Constant solution is omitted, since it always contributes to a trivial conservation law, which is not of our interests.

Example 4.4. *Let m, n be the independent variables and u, v be the dependent ones. Consider a Lagrangian*

$$L_n = \frac{u_{1,0}}{v_{0,0}} + \frac{v_{0,1}}{u_{0,0}}, \tag{4.30}$$

which admits a group of variational symmetries with infinitesimal generator

$$\mathbf{v} = u_{0,0}\partial_{u_{0,0}} + v_{0,0}\partial_{v_{0,0}}. \tag{4.31}$$

This can be easily checked, $\mathbf{pr}^{(\infty)}\mathbf{v}(L_n) = 0$. The associated Euler-Lagrange equations are given by the following partial difference equations

$$u_{1,1} = \omega^u(u_{0,0}, v_{0,1}) := \frac{v_{0,1}^2}{u_{0,0}}, \quad v_{1,1} = \omega^v(v_{0,0}, u_{1,0}) := \frac{u_{1,0}^2}{v_{0,0}}. \tag{4.32}$$

The simplest conservation laws we may expect are $P = (F, G)$ with

$$F(m, n, u_{0,0}, v_{0,0}, u_{0,1}, v_{0,1}) \quad \textit{and} \quad G(m, n, u_{0,0}, v_{0,0}, u_{1,0}, v_{1,0}). \tag{4.33}$$

By invoking (3.16), the components F and G become

$$F\left(m, n, \frac{u_{0,0}}{v_{0,0}}, \frac{u_{0,0}}{u_{0,1}}, \frac{u_{0,0}}{v_{0,1}}\right), \quad G\left(m, n, \frac{u_{0,0}}{v_{0,0}}, \frac{u_{0,0}}{u_{1,0}}, \frac{u_{0,0}}{v_{1,0}}\right). \tag{4.34}$$

Obviously F and G contribute to a conservation law if and only if

$$(S_m - \mathrm{id})F + (S_n - \mathrm{id})G = 0 \tag{4.35}$$

on solutions of the system (4.32). By applying the linear differential operators

$$\mathcal{D}_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{1,0}} - \frac{\omega^v_{u_{1,0}}}{\omega^v_{v_{0,0}}}\frac{\partial}{\partial v_{0,0}}, \quad \mathcal{D}_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{0,1}} - \frac{\omega^u_{v_{0,1}}}{\omega^u_{u_{0,0}}}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{0,0}}, \tag{4.36}$$

to (4.35) to eliminate $S_m F$ and $S_n G$, we get $\mathcal{D}_1\mathcal{D}_2(F + G) = 0$ on solutions. Similarly to the previous examples, we get a nontrivial conservation law

$$F = \ln\left(\frac{u_{0,0}}{v_{0,0}}\right) + \ln\left(\frac{u_{0,0}}{u_{0,1}}\right), \quad G = -\ln\left(\frac{u_{0,0}}{v_{0,0}}\right) + \ln\left(\frac{v_{0,0}}{v_{1,0}}\right). \tag{4.37}$$

The Euler-Lagrange equations possess another group of symmetries, whose infinitesimal generator is

$$\mathbf{v} = 2nu_{0,0}\partial_{u_{0,0}} + (2n-1)v_{0,0}\partial_{v_{0,0}}, \tag{4.38}$$

which obviously does not generate variational symmetries for the Lagrangian. By the same approach, this provides another conservation law,

$$F = \frac{(v_{0,1})^{2n}}{(u_{0,0})^{2n+1}}, \quad G = \frac{(u_{1,0})^{2n-1}}{(v_{0,0})^{2n}}. \tag{4.39}$$

Example 4.5. *We consider integrable partial difference equations that belong to the Adler-Bobenko-Suris (ABS) classification [11]. The authors obtained their symmetries and conservation laws respectively in [18] and [10]. We simplify their procedure for getting conservation laws using the obtained Lie point symmetries.*

The general form of ABS equations on the quad-graph is

$$\omega(m,n,u_{0,0},u_{1,0},u_{0,1},u_{1,1},\alpha_k,\beta_l)=0. \tag{4.40}$$

The functions $\alpha_m=\alpha(m)$ and $\beta_n=\beta(n)$ play the roles of edge parameters, which will be chosen as constants in our case. The equations from the ABS classification are

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{A1}: \ \alpha(u_{0,0}+u_{0,1})(u_{1,0}+u_{1,1})-\beta(u_{0,0}+u_{1,0})(u_{0,1}+u_{1,1})-\delta^2\alpha\beta(\alpha-\beta)=0,\\
&\mathbf{A2}: \ (\beta^2-\alpha^2)(u_{0,0}u_{1,0}u_{0,1}u_{1,1}+1)+\beta(\alpha^2-1)(u_{0,0}u_{0,1}+u_{1,0}u_{1,1})\\
&\qquad -\alpha(\beta^2-1)(u_{0,0}u_{1,0}+u_{0,1}u_{1,1})=0,\\
&\mathbf{H1}: \ (u_{0,0}-u_{1,1})(u_{1,0}-u_{0,1})+\beta-\alpha=0,\\
&\mathbf{H2}: \ (u_{0,0}-u_{1,1})(u_{1,0}-u_{0,1})+(\beta-\alpha)(u_{0,0}+u_{0,1}+u_{1,0}+u_{1,1})+\beta^2-\alpha^2=0,\\
&\mathbf{H3}: \ \alpha(u_{0,0}u_{1,0}+u_{0,1}u_{1,1})-\beta(u_{0,0}u_{0,1}+u_{1,0}u_{1,1})+\delta^2(\alpha^2-\beta^2)=0,\\
&\mathbf{Q1}: \ \alpha(u_{0,0}-u_{0,1})(u_{1,0}-u_{1,1})-\beta(u_{0,0}-u_{1,0})(u_{0,1}-u_{1,1})+\delta^2\alpha\beta(\alpha-\beta)=0,\\
&\mathbf{Q2}: \ \alpha(u_{0,0}-u_{0,1})(u_{1,0}-u_{1,1})-\beta(u_{0,0}-u_{1,0})(u_{0,1}-u_{1,1})\\
&\qquad +\alpha\beta(\alpha-\beta)(u_{0,0}+u_{1,0}+u_{0,1}+u_{1,1})-\alpha\beta(\alpha-\beta)(\alpha^2-\alpha\beta+\beta^2)=0,\\
&\mathbf{Q3}: \ (\beta^2-\alpha^2)(u_{0,0}u_{1,1}+u_{1,0}u_{0,1})+\beta(\alpha^2-1)(u_{0,0}u_{1,0}+u_{0,1}u_{1,1})\\
&\qquad -\alpha(\beta^2-1)(u_{0,0}u_{0,1}+u_{1,0}u_{1,1})-\delta^2(\alpha^2-\beta^2)(\alpha^2-1)(\beta^2-1)/(4\alpha\beta)=0,\\
&\mathbf{Q4}: \ \mathrm{sn}(\alpha)(u_{0,0}u_{1,0}+u_{0,1}u_{1,1})-\mathrm{sn}(\beta)(u_{0,0}u_{0,1}+u_{1,0}u_{1,1})-\mathrm{sn}(\alpha-\beta)(u_{0,0}u_{1,1}+u_{0,1}u_{1,0})\\
&\qquad +\mathrm{sn}(\alpha-\beta)\,\mathrm{sn}(\alpha)\,\mathrm{sn}(\beta)(1+K^2u_{0,0}u_{0,1}u_{1,0}u_{1,1})=0.
\end{aligned}$$

Here $\mathrm{sn}(\alpha)=\mathrm{sn}(\alpha;K)$ is a Jacobi elliptic function with modulus K. We write a three-point conservation law as $(F(m,n,u_{0,0},u_{0,1},\alpha,\beta),G(m,n,u_{0,0},u_{1,0},\alpha,\beta))$ such that

$$(S_m-\mathrm{id})F+(S_n-\mathrm{id})G=0 \tag{4.41}$$

on solutions of an equation.

Take the equation $\mathbf{A1}_{\delta=0}$ for example. It possesses Lie point symmetries, whose characteristics are given by [18]

$$Q_1=(-1)^{m+n},\quad Q_2=u_{0,0},\quad Q_3=(-1)^{m+n}(u_{0,0})^2. \tag{4.42}$$

It is implied by (3.16) that conservation laws related with them are respectively of the forms

$$\begin{aligned}
&F_1(m,n,u_{0,0}+u_{0,1},\alpha,\beta), \quad G_1(m,n,u_{0,0}+u_{1,0},\alpha,\beta),\\
&F_2(m,n,u_{0,1}/u_{0,0},\alpha,\beta), \quad G_2(m,n,u_{1,0}/u_{0,0},\alpha,\beta),\\
&F_3(m,n,1/u_{0,0}+1/u_{0,1},\alpha,\beta), \quad G_3(m,n,1/u_{0,0}+1/u_{1,0},\alpha,\beta).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.43}$$

By assuming that all the singularity conditions are satisfied, rewrite the equation,

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{1,1} &= \omega(m,n,u_{0,0},u_{0,1},u_{1,0},\alpha,\beta)\\
&= \frac{\beta(u_{0,0}+u_{1,0})u_{0,1}-\alpha(u_{0,0}+u_{0,1})u_{1,0}}{\alpha(u_{0,0}+u_{0,1})-\beta(u_{0,0}+u_{1,0})}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.44}$$

Applying similar differential operators $\mathcal{D}_1$ and $\mathcal{D}_2$ as given by (4.21) to the divergence expression (4.41), we get

$$\mathcal{D}_1\mathcal{D}_2(F_k+G_k)=0, \quad k=1,2,3. \tag{4.45}$$

When $k=1$, this gives two conservation laws

$$F_1=-(-1)^{m+n}\beta(u_{0,0}+u_{0,1})^{-1}, \quad G_1=(-1)^{m+n}\alpha(u_{0,0}+u_{1,0})^{-1} \tag{4.46}$$

and

$$F_1=-(-1)^{m+n}(2\ln(u_{0,0}+u_{0,1})-\ln\beta), \quad G_1=(-1)^{m+n}(2\ln(u_{0,0}+u_{1,0})-\ln\alpha). \tag{4.47}$$

We list all the characteristics associated with Lie point symmetries and the forms of their related three-point conservation laws (the arguments m,n,α,β are omitted). A similar procedure as for $\mathbf{A1}_{\delta=0}$ (as well as for the other previous examples) will give us the conservation laws explicitly for all the equations from the ABS classification as shown in [10]. This method can also be applied to find conservation laws depending on more than three points.

Equations	**Characteristics**	**Potential conservation Laws**
$\mathbf{A1}_{\delta=0}$	$Q_1=(-1)^{m+n}$	$F_1(u_{0,0}+u_{0,1})$, $G_1(u_{0,0}+u_{1,0})$
	$Q_2=u_{0,0}$	$F_2(u_{0,1}/u_{0,0})$, $G_2(u_{1,0}/u_{0,0})$
	$Q_3=(-1)^{m+n}(u_{0,0})^2$	$F_3(1/u_{0,0}+1/u_{0,1})$, $G_3(1/u_{0,0}+1/u_{1,0})$
$\mathbf{A1}_{\delta=1}$	$Q_1=(-1)^{m+n}$	$F_1(u_{0,0}+u_{0,1})$, $G_1(u_{0,0}+u_{1,0})$
	$Q_2^u=u_{0,0},\ Q_2^\alpha=\alpha,\ Q_2^\beta=\beta$	$F_2(u_{0,1}/\alpha,u_{0,0}/\alpha,\beta/\alpha)$, $G_2(u_{1,0}/\alpha,u_{0,0}/\alpha,\beta/\alpha)$
A2	$Q=(-1)^{m+n}u_{0,0}$	$F(u_{0,0}u_{0,1})$, $G(u_{0,0}u_{1,0})$

Equations	**Characteristics**	**Potential conservation Laws**
H1	$Q_1 = 1$	$F_1(u_{0,1} - u_{0,0})$, $G_1(u_{1,0} - u_{0,0})$
	$Q_2 = (-1)^{m+n}$	$F_2(u_{0,0} + u_{0,1})$, $G_2(u_{0,0} + u_{1,0})$
	$Q_3^u = u_{0,0},\ Q_3^\alpha = 2\alpha,\ Q_3^\beta = 2\beta$	$F_3((u_{0,1})^2/\alpha, (u_{0,0})^2/\alpha, \beta/\alpha)$, $G_3((u_{1,0})^2/\alpha, (u_{0,0})^2/\alpha, \beta/\alpha)$
	$Q_4 = (-1)^{m+n}u_{0,0}$	$F_4(u_{0,0}u_{0,1})$, $G_4(u_{0,0}u_{1,0})$
H2	$Q_1^u = 1,\ Q_1^\alpha = -2,\ Q_1^\beta = -2$	$F_1(2u_{0,1} - \alpha, 2u_{0,0} - \alpha, \beta - \alpha)$, $G_1(2u_{1,0} - \alpha, 2u_{0,0} - \alpha, \beta - \alpha)$
	$Q_2 = (-1)^{m+n}$	$F_2(u_{0,0} + u_{0,1})$, $G_2(u_{0,0} + u_{1,0})$
	$Q_3^u = u_{0,0},\ Q_3^\alpha = \alpha,\ Q_3^\beta = \beta$	$F_3(u_{0,1}/\alpha, u_{0,0}/\alpha, \beta/\alpha)$, $G_3(u_{1,0}/\alpha, u_{0,0}/\alpha, \beta/\alpha)$
$\mathbf{H3}_{\delta=0}$	$Q_1 = u_{0,0}$	$F_1(u_{0,1}/u_{0,0})$, $G_1(u_{1,0}/u_{0,0})$
	$Q_2 = (-1)^{m+n}u_{0,0}$	$F_2(u_{0,0}u_{0,1})$, $G_2(u_{0,0}u_{1,0})$
$\mathbf{H3}_{\delta=1}$	$Q_1^u = u_{0,0},\ Q_1^\alpha = 2\alpha,\ Q_1^\beta = 2\beta$	$F_1((u_{0,1})^2/\alpha, (u_{0,0})^2/\alpha, \beta/\alpha)$, $G_1((u_{1,0})^2/\alpha, (u_{0,0})^2/\alpha, \beta/\alpha)$
	$Q_2 = (-1)^{m+n}u_{0,0}$	$F_2(u_{0,0}u_{0,1})$, $G_2(u_{0,0}u_{1,0})$
$\mathbf{Q1}_{\delta=0}$	$Q_1 = 1$	$F_1(u_{0,1} - u_{0,0})$, $G_1(u_{1,0} - u_{0,0})$
	$Q_2 = u_{0,0}$	$F_2(u_{0,1}/u_{0,0})$, $G_2(u_{1,0}/u_{0,0})$
	$Q_3 = (u_{0,0})^2$	$F_3(1/u_{0,0} - 1/u_{0,1})$, $G_3(1/u_{0,0} - 1/u_{1,0})$
$\mathbf{Q1}_{\delta=1}$	$Q_1 = 1$	$F_1(u_{0,1} - u_{0,0})$, $G_1(u_{1,0} - u_{0,0})$
	$Q_2^u = u_{0,0},\ Q_2^\alpha = \alpha,\ Q_2^\beta = \beta$	$F_2(u_{0,1}/\alpha, u_{0,0}/\alpha, \beta/\alpha)$, $G_2(u_{1,0}/\alpha, u_{0,0}/\alpha, \beta/\alpha)$
Q2	$Q^u = 2u_{0,0},\ Q^\alpha = \alpha,\ Q^\beta = \beta$	$F(u_{0,1}/\alpha^2, u_{0,0}/\alpha^2, \beta/\alpha)$, $G(u_{1,0}/\alpha^2, u_{0,0}/\alpha^2, \beta/\alpha)$
$\mathbf{Q3}_{\delta=\mathbf{0}}$	$Q = u_{0,0}$	$F(u_{0,1}/u_{0,0})$, $G(u_{1,0}/u_{0,0})$
$\mathbf{Q4}_{K=\pm1}$	$Q = 1 - (u_{0,0})^2$	$F\left(\frac{(u_{0,0}+1)(u_{0,1}-1)}{(u_{0,0}-1)(u_{0,1}+1)}\right)$, $G\left(\frac{(u_{0,0}+1)(u_{1,0}-1)}{(u_{0,0}-1)(u_{1,0}+1)}\right)$

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Department of Mathematics at University of Surrey, the China Scholarship Council and JST-CREST. The author would like to thank Professor Peter Hydon for valuable comments on an earlier version.

References

[1] P. J. Olver, *Applications of Lie Groups to Differential Equations, 2nd edn,* Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.

[2] V. Dorodnitsyn, *Noether-type theorems for difference equations,* Appl. Numer. Math. **39** (2001), 307–321 .

[3] V. Dorodnitsyn, *Applications of Lie Groups to Difference Equations,* Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2010.

[4] E. Noether, *Invariante variationsprobleme,* Nachr. Ges. Wiss. Gött., Math.-Phys. Kl.(1918), 235–257 (For an English translation, see Transport Theory and Stat. Phys. 1 (1971), 186-207.).

[5] T. M. N. Gonçalves and E. L. Mansfield, *On moving frames and Noether's conservation laws,* Stud. Appl. Math. **128** (2012), 1–29 .

[6] N. H. Ibragimov, A. H. Kara, and F. M. Mahomed, *Lie-Bäcklund and Noether symmetries with applications,* Nonlinear Dynamics **15** (1998), 115–136 .

[7] S. C. Anco and G. Bluman, *Derivation of conservation laws from nonlocal symmetries of differential equations,* J. Math. Phys. **37** (1996), 2361 .

[8] A. H. Kara and F. M. Mahomed, *Relationship between symmetries and conservation laws,* International Journal of Theoretical Physics **39** (2000), 23–40 .

[9] A. H. Kara and F. M. Mahomed, *Noether-type symmetries and conservation laws via partial Lagrangians,* Nonlinear Dynamics **45** (2006), 367–383 .

[10] O. G. Rasin and P. E. Hydon, *Conservation laws for integrable difference equations,* J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **40** (2007), 12763–12773 .

[11] V. E. Adler, A. I. Bobenko, and Y. B. Suris, *Classification of integrable equations on quad-graphs. The consistency approach,* Comm. Math. Phys. **233** (2003), 513–543 .

[12] P. E. Hydon, *Difference Equations by Differential Equation Methods,* book manuscript, 2013.

[13] T. J. Grant, *Characteristics of Conservation Laws for Finite Difference Equations,* Ph.D Thesis, University of Surrey, 2011.

[14] T. J. Grant and P. E. Hydon, *Characteristics of conservation laws for difference equations,* Found. Comp. Math., to appear.

[15] L. Peng and P. E. Hydon, *The difference variational bicomplex with applications,* preprint.

[16] L. Peng, *From Differential to Difference: The Variational Bicomplex and Invariant Noether's Theorems,* Ph.D Thesis, University of Surrey, 2013.

[17] P. G. L. Leach, *Applications of the Lie theory of extended groups in Hamiltonian mechanics: the oscillator and the Kepler problem,* J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. B **23** (1981), 173–186 .

[18] O. G. Rasin and P. E. Hydon, *Symmetries of integrable difference equations on the quad-graph,* Stud. Appl. Math. **119** (2007), 253–269 .